

Market Manual Comments

The tables below contain OPG’s list of comments regarding the EDAC draft Market Manuals 9.0 – 9.4. Unless otherwise indicated, the page number references refer to the page numbers listed at the bottom corner of each page of the appropriate market manual.

Market Manual 9.0: Day-Ahead Commitment Process Overview:

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO Response</i>
Page 5, the paragraph just after the three bullet points beginning “The DACP process considers ...”	This sentence seems to be unnecessary. It appears to be meant as a comparison to the current DACP process, which will no longer be around when EDAC is implemented.	Comment accepted. The referenced sentence will be removed.
Page 5, third line of the second last paragraph	“... resources are a one hour window to ...”	Comment accepted. The word “are” in the referenced sentence will be replaced with the word “with”.
Page 6	The times on this page and throughout the manuals follow the format “6:00”. It would help avoid confusion if all single digit times had a zero in front: “06:00”.	Comment accepted. All times used in the manual will be changed to be consistent with the suggested format.
Page 6, bullets under (c.) and (e.)	These bullets reference the “current DACP process”, but once EDAC is implemented the current DACP process will no longer exist. All references to the current process should be removed.	Comment accepted. The referenced bullets will be removed.
Page 6, first bullet under (g.)	To avoid needing to define an “optimal” schedule, the sentence “... submit revised <i>dispatch data</i> to resolve sub-optimal scheduling of these resources.” should be shortened to “... submit revised <i>dispatch data</i> .” It is at the market participant’s discretion how to re-submit their EELR offers.	We agree that once a resource is determined to be an eligible energy limited resource, the market participant has discretion to resubmit their offers how they want. The purpose of allowing eligible energy limited resources to re-submit offers is to prevent sub-optimal scheduling of hydroelectric resources with a daily cascading hydroelectric dependency. The referenced sentence as currently written describes the purpose of the EELR resubmission window. The referenced sentence will not be changed.

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO Response</i>
Page 6, last bullet under (g.)	Won't the results of each DACP optimization run be published? Perhaps the first sentence should be re-worded to "Results of the final DACP optimization run ..."	The results of each run will be published. The last published results will form the DACP Schedule of Record. This sentence states our commitment that the results will never be published later than 15:00. Where tool or input problems result in delays in DACP runs and the results would not be published until after 15:00, we will declare a DACP failure. The referenced sentence will not be changed.

Market Manual 9.1: Submitting Registration Data for the Day-Ahead Commitment Process:

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO Response</i>
Page 8, table 5-2	"Cascading hydroelectric dependent generation resource" (section 4.1.2) and "Declaration to use pseudo unit model" (section 4.1.3) are listed as DACP registration data but are not included in the table.	Comment accepted. The table will be revised to include all new DACP registration Data. Note: DCHD is currently included in the table.
Page 7, section 5.1	There is an extra line space between "... Resource Type" and "shows the ..." near the end of the paragraph that should be eliminated.	Comment accepted. The line space will be removed.

Market Manual 9.2: Submitting Operational and Market Data for the DACP:

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO response</i>
Page 4, last line of the fourth paragraph	"... in this section and is illustrated in Figure 4-1 Figure 4-1: DACP ..."	Comment accepted. The duplicated text will be removed.
Page 9, first sentence of section 5.1.2	"... start generators can must submit three part ..." Eliminate the word "can" to avoid interpreting that submitting three part offers is optional. The original wording is also not consistent with the first sentence in section 5.1.	The use of three part offers is optional for dispatchable not quick start generators. Default values (zero) of start-up cost and speed-no-load cost will be used by the calculation engine if none are submitted. The referenced sentence will not be changed.
Page 12, first paragraph of	"... DACP and adjust your EELR offers to help us solve potential reliability "	Comment accepted. The referenced sentence will be

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO response</i>
section 5.1.4.2	problems. ” The EELR offer re-submission is at the participant’s discretion, and they are not obligated to solve reliability problems.	revised as suggested.

Market Manual 9.3: Operation of the Day-Ahead Commitment Process:

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO Response</i>
Page 26, section 5.1.4	In the title “Procedural Steps for to Retrieve DACP Reports ...”	The procedure is titled “Retrieve DACP Reports and Notifications”. The table of procedural steps is for this procedure. No change will be made to the title.

Market Manual 9.4: Post Day-Ahead Commitment Process:

<i>Reference</i>	<i>Comment Description</i>	<i>IESO Response</i>
Page 4, section 4.1.1	The last sentence that speaks to not applying reliability constraints “... until after the DACP process has completed.” is not consistent with what is written in section 4.6.1 in market manual 9.3, which says “We will implement DACP reliability commitment actions only if we consider that our intervention during or after the DACP ...”. There should be consistency between the manuals.	Comment accepted. The referenced sentence will be removed.